



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56936/18290825-2025.19v.4-97>

COMPARISON OF POST-DEFINITIVE-SURGERY COMPLICATIONS BETWEEN PATIENTS WITH HIGH AND LOW ANORECTAL MALFORMATIONS IN MAKASSAR, INDONESIA

WINOTO S.¹, HABAR T.R.^{2,*}, HENDARTO J.³, KUSUMA M.I.¹, PRIHANTONO P.¹, MARIANA N.², NURMANTU F.², AHMADWIRAWAN A.², SULMIATI S.², FARUK M.¹

¹Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

²Division of Pediatric Surgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

³Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

Received 10.11.2024; Accepted for printing 21.10.2025

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Managing anorectal malformations generally includes posterior sagittal anorectoplasty. We compared complications in patients with high and low anorectal malformations after definitive posterior sagittal anorectoplasty.

Methods: Data for this descriptive, analytic, retrospective cohort study were obtained from medical records of anorectal malformation patients who had undergone posterior sagittal anorectoplasty and colostomy closure between 2017 and 2019.

Results: Among the 45 patients included, most were aged ≤ 30 years (57.5%) and were male (64.4%). High anorectal malformation was more prevalent (84.4%) than low. Most high anorectal malformation patients presented without fistula (52%); among those with fistula, the most prevalent form was rectourethral (18.4%) followed by recto-vestibular (15.6%). Among low anorectal malformation patients, 71.4% presented with perineal fistula and 28.6% presented without. This study showed that chronic complications were the most type of complication from anorectal malformation, where chronic complications are mostly found in High type anorectal malformation, but not significantly with type anorectal malformation.

Conclusions: Incontinence was more prevalent in patients with high anorectal malformations, while constipation was more common with low anorectal malformation, although this was not statistically significant. Chronic complications occurred more frequently than early complications regardless of the type of anorectal malformation. High anorectal malformations had more complications than low anorectal malformations, but this difference was not statistically significant.

KEYWORDS: anal stenosis, anorectal malformation, constipation, incontinence, posterior sagittal anorectoplasty.

CITE THIS ARTICLE AS:

WINOTO S., HABAR T.R., HENDARTO J., KUSUMA M.I., PRIHANTONO P., MARIANA N., NURMANTU F., AHMADWIRAWAN A., SULMIATI S., FARUK M. (2025). Comparison of post-definitive-surgery complications between patients with high and low anorectal malformations in Makassar, Indonesia; The New Armenian Medical Journal, vol.19 (4), 97-103; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56936/18290825-2025.19v.4-97>

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:

Tommy Rubiyanto Habar, MD-PhD
Division of Pediatric Surgery, Hasanuddin University,
Makassar, Indonesia Jalan Perintis Kemerdekaan KM 11,
Makassar, South Sulawesi, 90245, Indonesia
Tel: +62411587571
Email: tommyrubi@yahoo.co.id

INTRODUCTION

Anorectal malformations represent a spectrum of congenital anomalies involving the distal hindgut, rectum, and anal canal, and are frequently associated with abnormalities of the urogenital tract. The global incidence of anorectal malformations is estimated to be 1 in 4,000–5,000 live births, with a higher prevalence among males [Cassina M et al, 2019]. These anomalies result from a disruption in the embryological division of the cloaca, which normally separates into the rectum and urogenital sinus during early fetal development. Incomplete partitioning leads to various degrees of anorectal and urogenital connection or absence of anal opening [Grosfeld J, 2006]. Based on the position of the rectal pouch relative to the levator ani muscle, Anorectal malformations are broadly classified into high, intermediate, and low types. Low malformations are usually less complex and more amenable to surgical correction, whereas high malformations are often associated with greater pelvic dislocation and neurogenic dysfunction [Peña A, 2006; Bischoff A et al, 2014].

The introduction of posterior sagittal anorectoplasty by Peña and De Vries in 1982 transformed the surgical management of anorectal malformation, as it allowed direct visualization and reconstruction of the sphincteric complex [Gangopadhyay A, Pandey V, 2015]. Currently, posterior sagittal anorectoplasty remains the gold standard for definitive repair of high and intermediate Anorectal malformations, while limited posterior sagittal anorectoplasty or perineal anoplasty is used for low lesions [Huang C et al, 2012]. Despite substantial improvement in survival and functional results, the overall success of posterior sagittal anorectoplasty depends not only on surgical accuracy but also on postoperative management, nutritional support, and rehabilitation programs [Levitt M et al, 2010].

Postoperative complications following anorectal malformation repair can be divided into early and chronic types. Early complications include wound infection, anal stenosis, and rectal prolapse, while chronic complications mainly involve constipation, fecal incontinence, and soiling [Divarci E, Ergun O, 2020]. These long-term problems remain a global concern because they significantly affect the pa-

tient's quality of life. Previous studies have shown that the incidence and type of complications vary according to the anatomical classification of anorectal malformation. Patients with high anorectal malformation tend to experience fecal incontinence due to defective pelvic floor musculature and impaired neural control, whereas constipation is more frequent among those with low anorectal malformation, possibly related to rectal hypomotility or postoperative fibrosis [Rintala RJ, Pakarinen MP, 2010; Nam SH et al, 2016].

Functional outcomes in anorectal malformation patients also rely heavily on consistent bowel management and long-term follow-up. However, in many low- and middle-income countries, including Indonesia, limited access to specialized pediatric colorectal services, delayed presentation, malnutrition, and inadequate postoperative care remain significant barriers to optimal outcomes [Banu T et al, 2020]. Consequently, many patients experience preventable complications, which underscores the need for region-specific data to improve postoperative protocols.

Several population-based studies have attempted to quantify functional outcomes and complication rates following definitive anorectal malformation repair. Krickenbeck's classification identified constipation rates of 21% in perineal fistula, 28% in recto-vestibular fistula, and 42% in rectourethral fistula [Levitt M et al, 2010]. Peña's study involving 792 patients reported constipation in 43% of low anorectal malformation cases and 18% of high anorectal malformation cases [Peña A, Levitt M, 2006]. However, these figures vary widely across countries, likely due to differences in genetic, nutritional, and environmental factors, as well as disparities in postoperative bowel care [Cassina M et al, 2019; Makrufardi F et al, 2020].

Although extensive literature exists from Western and multicenter Asian studies, limited data are available from Indonesia, particularly in Eastern regions such as Makassar. Wahidin Sudirohusodo Hospital, as a major tertiary referral center, provides an ideal population base to examine the outcomes of anorectal malformation surgery within this context. Understanding the pattern of postoperative complications can guide local clinicians in refining surgical timing, optimizing bowel management programs, and providing better counsel-

ing for families.

Therefore, this study aimed to compare post-operative complications between patients with high and low anorectal malformations following definitive posterior sagittal anorectoplasty at Wahidin Sudirohusodo Hospital, Makassar. Specifically, this study evaluated the types and timing of complications—both early and chronic—and their correlation with the anatomical type of anorectal malformation. By identifying the predominant complication patterns, this research seeks to contribute to improved prediction of functional outcomes and to the development of standardized postoperative care strategies suitable for resource-limited healthcare settings.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study had a descriptive, analytic, retrospective cohort design. Data were obtained from the medical records of patients who had an anorectal malformation and underwent posterior sagittal anorectoplasty and colostomy closure between January 2017 and December 2019. This study was approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee of Hasanuddin University (Reference No. 542/UN4.6.4.5.31/PP36/2020).

The research population was selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients with anorectal malformation that had undergone limited posterior sagittal anorectoplasty for low-level lesions and full posterior sagittal anorectoplasty and colostomy closure for high anorectal malformation; and 2) patients with sufficient data in their medical records. Patients were excluded if: 1) they had other vertebral, anal, cardiac, tracheoesophageal, renal, and limb comorbidities; 2) their data were lost on follow up; and 3) they had incomplete medical records.

The descriptive data included the following: age, gender, location of lesion, type of fistula, type of posterior sagittal anorectoplasty, and complications after definitive surgery. Statistical analyses to determine the relationship between anorectal malformation type after posterior sagittal anorectoplasty and subsequent complications were carried out with the chi-square test ($p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant). Results were presented by narrative description, tables, and graphics. Data were analyzed statistically using

SPSS version 22 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. IBM Corp., anorectal malformation, NY).

RESULTS

Of the 45 patients in our study population, the most common ages of presentation were <1 and >6 months (18 patients and 40% for both), followed by 3–6 months (six patients, 13%). Among these patients, 29 (64.4%) were male and 16 (35.6%) were female. Patients with high anorectal malformation were most prevalent (38 patients, 84.4%). Among those with high anorectal malformation, most patients presented without fistula (20 patients, 52.6%), and the most common type was recto-urethral (seven patients, 18.4%). Out of seven patients in the low anorectal malformation group, the majority had perineal fistula (five patients, 71.4%) and two patients presented without fistula (28.6%). A summary of the baseline characteristics is shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1.

Characteristics of Anorectal malformations		
Variable	Case (n)	Percentage (%)
Age at presentation (month)		
<1	18	40.0
1–3	3	6.7
3–6	6	13.0
>6	18	40.0
Total	45	100.0
Gender		
Male	29	64.4
Female	16	35.6
Total	45	100.0
Type of Anorectal malformation		
High	38	84.4
Low	7	15.6
Total	45	100.0
Distribution of fistula in high Anorectal malformations		
Recto-urethral	7	18.4
Recto-vesical	1	2.6
Recto-vaginal	4	10.5
Recto-vestibular	6	15.8
Without fistula	20	52.6
Total	38	100
Distribution of fistula in low Anorectal malformations		
Perineal fistula	5	71.4
Without fistula	2	28.6
Total	7	100

Table 2 shows that 76.3% of the high anorectal malformation patients had complications after definitive surgery, while the rate in the low anorectal malformation group was 71.4%. There were no statistically significant differences in complication rates among patients with high and low Anorectal malformations ($p = 0.782$).

Cross tabulation of anorectal malformation and complication types (Table 3) revealed that among high anorectal malformation patients the most common complication was incontinence (31.6%), whereas in low anorectal malformation patients the most common complication was constipation (57.1%).

Table 4 shows that the frequency of incidence of chronic complications for each type of Anorectal malformation was higher than for early complications; long-term complications occurred more often in all types of Anorectal malformation.

DISCUSSION

This study analyzed 45 patients with anorectal malformation who underwent definitive surgery between 2017 and 2019. The majority of patients were male (64.4%), consistent with the global trend showing a male predominance in anorectal malformation cases [Cassina M et al, 2019; Banu T et al, 2020]. The slight gender disparity may be attributed to the higher prevalence of high-type ARM in males, as well as variations in genetic and embryological determinants of cloacal development [Bischoff A et al, 2014]. Studies from Italy and multicenter analyses across Asia have also reported similar findings, reinforcing that sex-related differences in anorectal malformation incidence are likely biologically determined rather than regionally specific [de Blaauw I et al, 2013; Danu T et al, 2020].

In this study, 84.4% of patients presented with high-type anorectal malformation, while 15.6% had low-type lesions. The predominance of high-type anorectal malformation could reflect a referral bias, as Wahidin Sudirohusodo Hospital functions as a tertiary center that typically receives more severe or complex cases. A similar pattern was reported in tertiary hospitals in Bangladesh and China, where high-type anorectal malformation accounted for 70–80% of the surgical population [Banu T et al, 2013; Han Y et al, 2017]. Ana-

tomically, high lesions often involve a higher rectal pouch and frequently coexist with rectourethral or rectovesical fistulas, whereas low lesions are mostly perineal fistulas [Peña A, Levitt M, 2006; Huang C et al, 2012]. In our findings, more than half of the high anorectal malformation patients had no fistula (52.6%), while among the low anorectal malformation group, the majority had perineal fistula (71.4%). This distribution aligns with

TABLE 2.

Relationship between Anorectal malformations type and complications

Complications		Anorectal malformations type		p-value
		High	Low	
Present	n	29	5	0.782
	%	76.3	71.4	
Absent	n	10	2	0.782
	%	23.7	28.6	

TABLE 3.

Relationship between ARM and type of complication

Complication type		ARM type		p-value
		High	Low	
Rectal prolapse	n	3	0	0.442
	%	7.9	0	
Anal stenosis	n	1	1	0.169
	%	2.6	14.3	
Wound infection	n	2	0	0.664
	%	5.3	0	
Constipation	n	5	4	0.028
	%	13.2	57.1	
Incontinence	n	12	0	0.083
	%	31.6	0	
Soiling	n	5	0	0.309
	%	13.2	0	
No complications	n	10	2	0.782
	%	26.3	28.6	

TABLE 3.

Relationship between malformation type and complication time

Time of complication		ARM type		p-value
		High	Low	
Short term	n	5	1	0.936
	%	13.2	14.3	
Long term	n	23	4	0.763
	%	60.5	57.1	
No complication	n	10	2	0.782
	%	26.3	28.6	

global data but shows a slightly higher rate of “no fistula” cases, possibly due to delayed diagnosis or incomplete documentation at initial presentation.

The postoperative complication rate observed in this study—76.3% among high anorectal malformation and 71.4% among low anorectal malformation—was not statistically significant ($p = 0.782$). This indicates that the anatomical classification alone does not fully determine postoperative outcomes. However, the clinical pattern of complications differed between groups. Incontinence was more frequent among high anorectal malformation patients, while constipation predominated among those with low anorectal malformation. These findings are consistent with the functional outcomes reported in multiple international studies [Levitt M, et al, 2010; Rintala R, Pakarinen M, 2010; Divarci E, Ergun O, 2020]. The greater incidence of fecal incontinence in high-type anorectal malformation is primarily due to the impaired innervation and abnormal development of the sphincteric complex, whereas constipation in low-type anorectal malformation may result from inadequate bowel motility or postoperative anal stenosis [Nam SH, Kim DY, Kim SC, 2016].

The most common chronic complications following anorectal malformation repair in this study were incontinence (31.6%) and constipation (13.2%), whereas early complications such as anal stenosis and wound infection were less frequent. This predominance of long-term over short-term complications agrees with the meta-analysis by [Divarci E, Ergun O, 2020], which demonstrated that chronic sequelae, including constipation, incontinence, and soiling, account for more than 60% of all postoperative morbidities in anorectal malformation patients. Early complications like wound dehiscence or infection are now less common due to improved surgical technique and perioperative care, yet functional problems remain an ongoing concern.

Incontinence after posterior sagittal anorectoplasty has been linked to three primary mechanisms: (1) misplacement of the neo-anus outside the sphincter muscle complex, (2) intrinsic neuropathy of the pelvic floor, and (3) sacral anomalies leading to impaired voluntary control [Herman R, Teitelbaum D, 2012; Minneci P et al, 2019]. Anatomical studies and MRI analyses have confirmed

that patients with high-type anorectal malformation often have reduced sphincter mass and defective innervation, which limit functional recovery even with technically successful reconstruction [Rintala R, Pakarinen M, 2010]. Conversely, constipation in low-type anorectal malformation is often functional, caused by slow colonic transit, outlet obstruction, or poor compliance with bowel training. If not adequately managed, chronic fecal retention may lead to rectal dilatation and overflow pseudo-incontinence, further complicating the clinical course [Levitt M et al, 2010].

Several studies have sought to identify predictors of good postoperative continence. Favorable factors include a normal sacrum, intact sphincter anatomy, absence of spinal anomalies, and low-type lesion [Arnoldi R et al, 2014; Stenström P et al, 2014]. However, even in patients with favorable anatomy, outcomes may vary significantly depending on nutrition, surgical precision, and long-term bowel management compliance [Cassina M et al, 2019; Makrufardi F et al, 2020]. Malnutrition, in particular, has been recognized as a critical determinant of postoperative functional outcome. Malnourished children exhibit delayed myelination and poor pelvic muscle development, both of which impair continence and contribute to chronic constipation [Makrufardi F et al, 2020]. This highlights the importance of holistic preoperative optimization and postoperative nutritional rehabilitation in managing anorectal malformation patients in low-resource settings.

The present study also observed that chronic complications occurred more frequently than early complications, regardless of anorectal malformation type. This suggests that long-term follow-up and bowel management programs are as essential as the surgical intervention itself. A structured bowel management protocol—including dietary regulation, laxatives, enemas, and parental education—has been proven to significantly reduce chronic complications and improve quality of life [Levitt M, 2010; Nam S et al, 2016]. Unfortunately, such programs are often underutilized in developing countries due to resource limitations, lack of specialized centers, and insufficient caregiver awareness [Banu T et al, 2020].

Interestingly, although this study did not find statistically significant differences between high

and low anorectal malformation groups, the pattern of complications corresponds with the expected physiological outcomes described in previous literature. The absence of significance might be related to the relatively small sample size, which limits statistical power. Similar studies with larger populations have demonstrated that functional outcomes are strongly correlated with the level of malformation when adjusted for confounding factors such as sacral ratio and associated anomalies [Peña A, Levitt M 2006; Minneci P et al, 2019]. Future multicenter studies using standardized outcome scoring systems, such as the Krickbeck classification, are necessary to validate these findings and to allow cross-regional comparisons.

Another point worth noting is that postoperative outcomes are not solely determined by the anatomical level of the lesion but also by surgical expertise. Technical nuances, such as identifying the exact sphincter center line during posterior sagittal anorectoplasty, maintaining the integrity of the muscle complex, and avoiding overzealous dissection, significantly affect long-term continence [Peña A, Levitt M 2006; Han Y et al, 2017]. Furthermore, early postoperative dilation and anal calibration are vital in preventing anal stenosis, which remains a common cause of functional constipation [Huang C et al, 2012]. In our cohort, only 2.6% of patients experienced anal stenosis, suggesting that postoperative care was generally adequate.

Despite these insights, the present study has several limitations. The retrospective design inherently restricts data completeness and may introduce selection bias. The absence of objective functional evaluation tools such as anorectal manometry or MRI-based sphincter assessment limits

the precision of continence grading. Moreover, the small sample size reduces the ability to detect subtle statistical differences. Future research should incorporate prospective data collection with standardized postoperative follow-up and functional scoring systems. Additionally, multicenter collaboration across Indonesia could enhance statistical power and generalizability of findings.

Nevertheless, this study contributes valuable regional data regarding postoperative outcomes of anorectal malformation in Eastern Indonesia. To our knowledge, it is among the first to systematically analyze the relationship between anorectal malformation type and complication patterns following definitive posterior sagittal anorectoplasty in this population. The results emphasize that chronic complications such as incontinence and constipation remain prevalent and require long-term management strategies. Therefore, integrating surgical precision with structured follow-up and patient-centered bowel rehabilitation programs may represent the most effective approach to improving long-term outcomes in anorectal malformation patients.

CONCLUSION

Incontinence was the most common complication in patients with high anorectal malformation, while constipation was more prevalent among those with low anorectal malformation, although this effect was not statistically significant. Chronic complications were more frequent compared with early complications, regardless of the type of anorectal malformation. High anorectal malformation had more complications compared with low anorectal malformation, but this was not statistically significant.

REFERENCES

1. Arnoldi R, Macchini F, Gentilino V, Farris G, Morandi A, Brisighelli G & Leva E (2014), Anorectal malformations with good prognosis: variables affecting the functional outcome, *Journal of Pediatric Surgery* 49(8), 1232–1236, DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2014.01.051
2. Banu T, Chowdhury TK, Roy A, Hoque M, Saha SK & Ahmed S (2013), Cloacal malformation variants in male, *Pediatric Surgery International* 29(7), 677–682, DOI: 10.1007/s00383-013-3322-8
3. Banu T, Karim A, Adel MG, Lakhoo K, Aziz TT, Das A, Sharmeen N, Yapo B, Ferdous KMN, Kabir KA, Zahid MK, Ford K, Ahsan MQ, Akter M, Alam MA, Hoque M (2020), Multi-center Study of 342 Anorectal Malformation Patients: Age, Gender, Krickbeck Subtypes, and Associated Anomalies, *European jour-*

- nal of pediatric surgery* 30(5), 447-451, DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1695789
4. *Bischoff A, Frischer J, Dickie BH, Peña A (2014)*, Anorectal malformation without fistula: a defect with unique characteristics. Surgical management of anorectal malformations, *Seminars in Pediatric Surgery* 30(8), 763-766. DOI: 10.1007/s00383-014-3527-5
 5. *de Blaauw I, Wijers CH, Schmiedeke E et al. (2013)*, First results of a European multi-center registry of patients with anorectal malformations, *Journal of Pediatric Surgery* 48(12), 2530-2535, DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2013.07.022
 6. *Cassina M, Fascetti Leon F, Ruol M et al. (2019)*, Prevalence and survival of patients with anorectal malformations: A population-based study, *Journal of Pediatric Surgery* 54(10), 1998-2003, DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2019.03.004
 7. *Divarci E & Ergun O (2020)*, General complications after surgery for anorectal malformations, *Pediatric surgery international* 36(4), 431-445, DOI: 10.1007/s00383-020-04629-9
 8. *Gangopadhyay AN & Pandey V (2015)*, Anorectal malformations: the challenge continues, *Journal of Indian Association of Pediatric Surgeons* 20(1), 10-15, DOI: 10.4103/0971-9261.145438
 9. *Hohlschneider A & Hutson JM (2006)*, ARM – a Historical Overview, In: *Anorectal Malformations in Children*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-31751-7_1
 10. *Han Y, Xia Z, Guo S, Yu X & Li Z (2017)*, Laparoscopically Assisted Anorectal Pull-Through versus Posterior Sagittal Anorectoplasty for High and Intermediate Anorectal Malformations: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis', *PLOS ONE*, 12(1), e0170421, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0170421
 11. *Herman RS & Teitelbaum DH (2012)*, Anorectal malformations, *Clinics in perinatology* 39(2), 403-422, DOI: 10.1016/j.clp.2012.04.001
 12. *Huang CF, Chen W, Chen Y, Yu L, Wang H & Shen C (2012)*, Constipation is a Major Complication after Posterior Sagittal Anorectoplasty for Anorectal Malformations in Children, *Pediatrics & Neonatology* 53(4), 252-256, DOI: 10.1016/j.pedneo.2012.06.007
 13. *Levitt MA, Kant A & Peña A (2010)*, The morbidity of constipation in patients with anorectal malformations, *Journal of pediatric surgery* 45(6), 1228-1233, DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2010.02.096
 14. *Hohlschneider A, Hutson J (2006)*, Complications after the Treatment of Anorectal Malformations and Redo Operations, In: *Anorectal Malformations in Children*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-31751-7_24
 15. *Makrufardi F, Arifin DN, Afandy D, Yulianda D, Dwihantoro A & Gunadi (2020)*, Anorectal malformation patients' outcomes after definitive surgery using Krickenberg classification: A cross-sectional study, *Heliyon* 6(2), e03435, DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03435
 16. *Minneci PC, Kabre RS, Mak GZ et al. (2019)*, Can fecal continence be predicted in patients born with anorectal malformations?, *Journal of pediatric surgery* 54(6), 1159-1163, DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2019.02.035
 17. *Nam SH, Kim DY, & Kim SC (2016)*, Can we expect a favorable outcome after surgical treatment for an anorectal malformation?, *Journal of pediatric surgery*, 51(3), 421-424. , DOI: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2015.08.048
 18. *Peña A. and Levitt MA (2006)*, Anorectal Malformations. In: *Grosfeld JL, O'Neill JA, Fonkalsrud EW and Coran AG, Eds., Pediatric Surgery*, 6th Edition, Mosby Elsevier, Philadelphia, 1566-1589. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-323-02842-4.50104-2
 19. *Rintala RJ & Pakarinen MP (2010)*, Outcome of anorectal malformations and Hirschsprung's disease beyond childhood, *Seminars in pediatric surgery* 19(2), 160-167, DOI: 10.1053/j.sempedsurg.2009.11.021
 20. *Stenström P, Kockum CC, Benér DK, Ivarsson C, Arnbjörnsson E (2014)*, Adolescents with anorectal malformation: physical outcome, sexual health and quality of life, *International journal of adolescent medicine and health* 26(1), 49-59, DOI 10.1515/ijamh-2012-0111



CONTENTS

4. **MOHAMMAD I., BARI M.N., ANSARI M.R., KHAN M.S., MOHAMMAD A.**
PATHOGENIC RESPONSE MECHANISMS TO HOST AND THERAPEUTIC STRESS: CHALLENGES IN ADVANCED MEDICAL MICROBIOLOGY
14. **MAKLETSOVA M.G., ZELENKOVA G.A., ZELENKOV A.P., USTYANTSEV D.A., VAKULENKO M.YU.**
POLYAMINES - FACTORS OF AGING AND LONGEVITY REGULATION. MINI-REVIEW.
31. **DEDIĆ L., BANJARI I., IMŠIROVIĆ DEDIĆ M., FERENAC KIŠ M., BARJAKTAROVIĆ-LABOVIĆ S.**
IS ALPHA LIPOIC ACID USEFUL IN OBESITY TREATMENT? A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF CLINICAL EVIDENCE
39. **SUKKAR S.R.I.**
PHYTOTOXINS IN FORENSIC MEDICINE AND INVESTIGATIONS: AN OUTLOOK TOWARDS THE INCREASING RELEVANCE
47. **MOFTAKHAR F., NEJADRASOLI M., BEHAEN K., GHOMEISHI A., FARHADI E., SAVAIE M.**
THE EFFECT OF SINGLE DOSE VITAMIN D3 INTRAMUSCULAR INJECTION DURING INTENSIVE CARE UNIT ON RENAL FUNCTION IN PATIENTS WITH TRAUMATIC INJURIES: A DOUBLE-BLINDED, RANDOMIZED, AND CONTROL TRIAL STUDY
54. **MOUSAVI M.J, AMIRZARGAR S., AREFINIA N., AJEL M., ARYAMAND S., BEHBOUDI E.**
UNVEILING THE HETEROGENEOUS BURDEN OF LONG COVID IN THREE IRANIAN CITIES
62. **ALSANOUSI N**
PHYTOCOMPOUNDS AS ANTIDIABETIC AND HEPATOPROTECTIVE AGENTS: THE PROMISING POTENTIALS OF ACACIA ARABICA (LAM.) WILDD FLORAL METHANOLIC EXTRACT
70. **DUNDOVIĆ M., FERENAC KIŠ M., MARCIJUŠ L., KLAPEČ T., BANJARI I.**
INFLUENCE OF DIETARY IODINE ON SEMEN QUALITY PARAMETERS IN GENERAL MALE POPULATION: A PILOT STUDY
77. **IBRAHIM F.M., IBRAFIM M.M., SARDASHTI S.**
THE EVALUTAION OF CHAMOMILE AND TURMERIC ETHANOL EXTARCT PILL INTERVENTION IN COMPARISION WITH MEFNAMIC ACID ON THE SEVERITY OF PRIMARY DYSMENORREHA IN 18-21 YEARS OLD SINGLE STUDENTS
84. **PETROV YE.YE., KAZAKOV YU. M., BURMAK YU. H., IVANYTSKA T. A., CHEKALINA N. I., TRYBRAT T. A.**
COMPARATIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF SOME HOMEOSTASIS INDICES IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC COR PULMONALE IN DECOMPENSATION STAGE AND IN CONDITIONS OF ITS COMORBIDITY WITH STABLE CORONARY HEART DISEASE
91. **MUSTAFA M.O., IISA M.A., ABU BAKR I.M., KARRAR ALSHARIF M.H., ADAM A.H.**
THE DIFFERENCE IN PERCEPTION OF THE STUDENTS IN ANATOMY USING PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING AND LECTURES IN THE FACULTY OF MEDICINE, UNIVERSITY OF GADARIF.
97. **WINOTO S., HABAR T.R., HENDARTO J., KUSUMA M.I., PRIHANTONO P., MARIANA N., NURMANTU F., AHMADWIRAWAN A., SULMIATI S., FARUK M**
COMPARISON OF POST-DEFINITIVE-SURGERY COMPLICATIONS BETWEEN PATIENTS WITH HIGH AND LOW ANORECTAL MALFORMATIONS IN MAKASSAR, INDONESIA
104. **SHALCHI OGHLI S., SADEGHI R., OMRANIPOUR R., RAHIMI FOROUSHANI A., ASHOORKHANI M., TEDADI Y.**
EFFECTIVENESS OF TWO VIRTUAL PROGRAMS ON PERCEIVED STRESS, STRESS COPING, AND LIFE SATISFACTION AMONG WOMEN WITH BREAST CANCER: PROTOCOL FOR A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL
116. **KURNIAWATI E.M., VETERINI V., AZINAR A.D., PARATON H., HARDIANTO G., SETYOHADI T.H., RAHMAWATI N.A.**
CLINICAL EXPERIENCES OF UTERINE PROLAPSE OCCURRING DURING PREGNANCY IN TWO CASE REPORTS



The Journal is founded by
Yerevan State Medical
University after M. Heratsi.

Rector of YSMU

Armen A. **MURADYAN**

Address for correspondence:

Yerevan State Medical University
2 Koryun Street, Yerevan 0025,
Republic of Armenia

Phones:

(+37410) 582532 YSMU

(+37493 588697 Editor-in-Chief

Fax: (+37410) 582532

E-mail: namj.ysmu@gmail.com, ysmiu@mail.ru

URL: <http://www.ysmu.am>

*Our journal is registered in the databases of Scopus,
EBSCO and Thomson Reuters (in the registration process)*



SCOPUS



EBSCO



THE GUFO

LLC Print in "Monoprint" LLC

Director: Armen Armenakyan

Andraniks St., 96/8 Bulding

Yerevan, 0064, Armenia

Phone: (+37491) 40 25 86

E-mail: monoprint1@mail.ru

TheGufo is an online database platform designed to help researchers publish and share their scientific work on a global scale. Our company was founded to address the need for an affordable and user-friendly platform that removes many of the barriers traditionally imposed by the publishing industry. All scientific work published through TheGufo complies with Creative Commons 4.0 and other recognized standards to ensure authenticity, proper referencing, and academic integrity. Each submission undergoes a detailed peer-review process prior to publication.

Our mission is to provide researchers worldwide with a professional, accessible, and cost-effective platform to share both new and existing work with their peers. To further encourage participation, we also offer special promotional programs for academic institutions.

*Dear reader, to access our website, please follow the link below
<https://thegufo.com/> <https://>*

Editor-in-Chief

Arto V. **ZILFYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Deputy Editors

Hovhannes M. **MANVELYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Hamayak S. **SISAKYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Executive Secretary

Stepan A. **AVAGYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Editorial Board

Armen A. **MURADYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Drastamat N. **KHUDAVERDYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Suren A. **STEPANYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Foregin Members of the Editorial Board

Waleed **GHANIMA** (Oslo, Norway)

Carsten N. **Gutt** (Memmingen, GERMAY)

Ming-Hua **ZHENG** (Wenzhou, China)

Coordinating Editor (for this number)

Hesam Adin **Atashi** (Tehran, Iran)

Editorial Advisory Council

Vahe Yu. **AZATYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ara S. **BABLOYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ines **BANJARI** (Osijek, Croatia)

Azat A. **ENGIBARYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Mahdi **ESMAEILZADEH** (Mashhad, Iran)

Ruben V. **FANARJYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Gabriele **FRAGASSO** (Milano, Italy)

Samvel G. **GALSTYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Armen Dz. **HAMBARZUMYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Airazat M. **KAZARYAN** (Oslo, Norway)

Seyran P. **KOCHARYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Levon M. **MKRTCHYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ashot M. **MKRTUMYAN** (MoscowRussia)

Mariam R **MOVSISYAN** (Gumri, Armenia)

Mikhail Z. **NARIMANYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Sevak **SHAHBAZYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Arthur K. **SHUKURYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Gevorg N. **TAMAMYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Marine M. **TANASHYAN** (Moscow, Russia)

Hakob V. **TOPCHYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Alexander **WOODMAN** (London, England)

Konstantin B. **YENKOYAN** (Yerevan, Armenia)

Yumei **NIU** (Harbin, China)

Peijun **WANG** (Harbin, Chine)