

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56936/18290825-2026.20v.2-90>**EFFECTIVENESS OF ACCEPTANCE AND COMMITMENT THERAPY ON COVID-19 PROTECTION INDICATORS, PHYSICAL DISORDER SYMPTOMS, AND PERCEIVED STRESS IN HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL IN MASHHAD HOSPITALS****SHAHROKHI-FARD P.¹, SAGHEBI A.^{2*}, TALAEI A.²**¹. University of Tehran, Psychoanalytic Candidate Tehran Psychoanalytic Institute, Tehran, Iran.². Department of Psychiatry, Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Faculty of Medicine Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

Received 14.11.2025; Accepted for printing 14.05.2026

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The COVID-19 pandemic has placed significant psychological and physical pressures on nurses, who are at the frontline of this crisis. This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy group therapy on COVID-19 protection indicators, perceived stress, and physical disorder symptoms in nurses.

Material and Methods: This study used a quasi-experimental, pretest-posttest design with a control group. The study's statistical population consisted of nurses working in hospital wards caring for COVID-19 patients. From this population, 30 people were selected using convenience sampling and randomly assigned to two experimental groups (n=15) and a control group (n=15). The experimental group received the Acceptance and Commitment Therapy intervention in 8 90-minute sessions, while the control group received no intervention during this period. Data collection tools included the comprehensive health belief mModel questionnaire, the perceived stress scale, and the physical disorder symptoms checklist. The data obtained were analyzed using SPSS version 16 software and through the multivariate analysis of covariance test.

Results: The results showed a statistically significant difference between the post-test scores of the experimental and control groups in the linear combination of dependent variables ($P < 0.05$). Acceptance and commitment group therapy led to a significant improvement in the total score of protective behaviors against COVID-19 ($P < 0.001$), a considerable reduction in perceived stress ($P < 0.001$), and a significant decrease in physical disorder symptoms ($P < 0.001$) in the experimental group.

Conclusion: Based on the findings, acceptance and commitment therapy is an effective intervention for promoting preventive health behaviors, reducing stress, and alleviating psychosomatic symptoms in nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. It is recommended that such psychological interventions be included in medical centers' support programs.

KEYWORDS: Acceptance and commitment, nurses, COVID-19, perceived stress, physical symptoms, protection from coronavirus.

CITE THIS ARTICLE AS:

SHAHROKHI-FARD P., SAGHEBI A., TALAEI A. (2026). Effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy on COVID-19 Protection Indicators, Physical Disorder Symptoms, and Perceived Stress in Healthcare Personnel in Mashhad Hospitals; The New Armenian Medical Journal, vol.20 (2), 90-97; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56936/18290825-2026.20v.2-90>

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:

Ali Saghebi; MD.
Psychiatry Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences
Tel.: +98 (917) 3396954
E-mail: SaghebiA1@mums.ac.ir

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic, as a global health crisis, has had profound impacts on healthcare systems and medical staff [Bao Y et al., 2020; Mehta Set al., 2021]. The novel coronavirus causing this disease is highly infectious and has been reported with widespread and rapid global transmission [Zhu N et al., 2020]. The World Health Organization has declared this disease a significant global public health concern [WHO, 2020]. Originating in Wuhan, China, the disease has now seriously affected over 187 countries worldwide [Chan JF et al., 2020]. Despite a mortality rate of around 2%, the disease is considered highly fatal due to causing severe damage to the respiratory system and pulmonary failures [Chen H et al., 2020; Huang C et al., 2020]. Healthcare workers, especially nurses, are at high risk of infection due to their direct contact with COVID-19 patients [Kang L et al., 2020]. They are responsible for caring for infected patients, communicating with patients' families, and monitoring patients' general condition [Liu Q et al., 2020]. A significant portion of infected patients are hospitalized in intensive care units, and nurses in these units face additional psychological pressure stemming from unprofessional behaviors, feelings of lack of control, and value conflicts [Li L et al., 2015]. These factors ultimately lead to stress and other psychological problems for nurses [Haljin R, Krasevitborn S, 2010]. Stress occurs when an individual needs significant adaptation to new conditions [Zahra Shirbim et al., 2008]. When facing stress, if coping strategies are unsuccessful, the individual may experience physical problems, impaired cognitive function, and behavioral issues such as anxiety and depression [Brooks SK et al., 2020]. Therefore, possessing appropriate coping skills is essential to reduce the effects of [Levenson JL, 2006]. Stress management and providing effective coping skills help individuals deal with life's challenges more effectively [Mahmoodi N, Malekshahi M, 2012]. A significant consequence of stressors is the compromise of an individual's mental health and the emergence of psychosomatic disorders [Creed F et al., 2011]. Psychosomatic disorders are conditions where psychological distress negatively affects physiological functioning [Riahi M E, 2009]. These disorders result from the inappropriate activation of the nervous system and biochemical responses and involve complex interac-

tions between mind and body [Milne S et al., 2000]. The role of psychosocial stress is recognized as one of the most important factors in causing various physical and mental illnesses [Floyd DL et al., 2000]. The protection motivation theory, proposed by Rogers, serves as a framework for predicting and intervening in health behaviors [Cismaru M]. It includes seven main constructs: perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, intrinsic and extrinsic rewards, perceived self-efficacy, response costs, response efficacy, and protection motivation [Darafshi Ghahroudi S et al., 2013]. These constructs fall into two processes: threat appraisal and coping appraisal [Azadbakht M et al., 2014]. Acceptance and commitment therapy is one of the third-wave psychological therapies focusing on developing psychological flexibility [Hayes SC et al., 2012]. Instead of changing cognitions, this therapy aims to increase the individual's psychological connection with their thoughts and feelings [Thomas N et al., 2014]. A major advantage of this method over other psychotherapies is its simultaneous consideration of motivational and cognitive aspects for greater impact and durability of treatment effectiveness [A-tjak JG et al., 2015]. There is growing empirical evidence for the effect of this method on various psychological disorders [Anvari MH et al., 2014]. Given the contagious negative emotions in therapeutic environments and the high importance of the psychological state of intensive care unit nurses, it is essential to design and implement efficient strategies tailored to the conditions of medical staff [Li W et al., 2020]. Previous studies have shown the effectiveness of interventions such as mindfulness-based stress reduction and cognitive-behavioral therapy [Xiang YT et al., 2020]. Therefore, this study was designed to investigate the effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy on COVID-19 protection indicators, somatic symptom disorders, and perceived stress in nurses in Mashhad hospitals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants: This study was conducted as a quasi-experimental research with a pre-test-post-test design with a control group in 2021. The statistical population included all nurses in Mashhad hospitals who were directly or indirectly providing medical services to COVID-19 patients. From among nurses who met the inclusion criteria

and were available for the study, 30 were selected via purposive sampling and randomly assigned to either the control or experimental group (to receive group acceptance and commitment therapy interventions). Sample size calculation:

$$N = \frac{z^2pq}{d^2} = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.5 \times 0.5}{0.08^2} = 30$$

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Inclusion criteria included: Employment in COVID-19 patient care wards in the past month; possession of at least a Bachelor's degree in Nursing; willingness to participate in the research; no diagnosis of major psychiatric disorders; and not receiving concurrent psychotherapy interventions. Exclusion criteria included: Diagnosis of an acute psychiatric disorder during the study; participation in other psychological interventions; absence from more than two sessions of the intervention; failure to complete questionnaires at either the pre-test or post-test stage; starting any new psychological or pharmacological treatment related to emotional problems during the research period; and withdrawal of the participant from continued cooperation at any stage of the research.

Instruments: Data collection tools included a demographic information form (including gender, age, marital status) as well as the following questionnaires:

a) Health Behavior Questionnaire (champion health belief model - based on health belief model) A 24-item tool developed to assess various

aspects of the health belief model [Tarrant MA et al., 1997]. This questionnaire evaluates four components of the health belief model. Each component relates to 6 items (Perceived Susceptibility e.g., "I know that the coronavirus disease is very contagious"; Perceived Severity e.g., "Coronavirus disease can kill thousands of people in a short time"; Perceived Benefits e.g., "Frequent hand washing largely prevents coronavirus disease"; and Perceived Barriers e.g., "COVID-19 prevention guidelines can create occupational problems for me"). Each dimension is measured on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (Completely False) to 5 (Completely True). In the original study, the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was 0.91; reliability as Cronbach's alpha was 0.79; and the content validity index of this scale was 0.8 [Tarrant MA et al., 1997]. Cronbach's alpha in the present study was 0.77.

b) Perceived Stress Scale The Perceived Stress Scale, developed by Cohen et al., is used to assess general perceived stress over the past month. It measures thoughts and feelings about stressful events, control, overcoming, coping with psychological pressure, and experienced stresses. This scale also examines risk factors in behavioral disorders and shows the process of stressful relationships. Cronbach's alpha for this scale was obtained as 0.84 in three studies [Cohen S et al., 1983]. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the reliability of this test has been reported as 0.75

TABLE 1.

Group acceptance and commitment therapy program

Weeks	Description
1-2	Reviewing and assessing the client's problem history. Discussing treatment goals and the possibility of change. Explaining the intervention philosophy based on the ACT approach. Counseling contract including timely attendance and completing assignments.
3-4	Examining the interaction of thoughts, feelings, and actions. Creating creative hopelessness regarding previous methods used by the individual. Teaching that any action aimed at avoiding or controlling unwanted mental experiences is ineffective. Leading the client to creative hopelessness. Clarifying the client's values.
5	Continuing value clarification. Teaching acceptance. Changing concepts of language using metaphors.
6-7	Awareness of mental and bodily feelings and focus on activities (such as walking) and emotions. Discussing obstacles and practicing the exploration of feasibility of value-related activities. Processing exercises related to satisfaction and dissatisfaction with life's sufferings without judgment.
8	Introduction to setting effective goals related to values. Paying attention to thoughts and feelings without clinging to their content. Giving assignments and receiving feedback. Continuing to set effective goals related to values. Expressing the difference between values, goals, and needs.
9-10	Continuing discussion about satisfaction with having suffering. Value clarification exercises and emphasizing client empowerment. Building motivation for committed action along with acceptance of mental experiences.

ACT - acceptance and commitment therapy

[Salehi Ghadri J, 1994]. In Sepahvand's research, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient was reported as 0.80 [Sepahvand T et al., 2009].

c) Somatic Symptom Disorder Questionnaire

The somatic symptom scale for non-clinical settings was used to assess psychosomatic symptoms. This self-report questionnaire measures the intensity of psychosomatic symptoms experienced by the individual and consists of 20 items answered on a 5-point Likert scale [Huang C, 2020.]. Mohr reported the internal reliability of this questionnaire in various studies and with different samples to be between 0.7 and 0.93 [Mohr GB, 2000]. In Iran, Babamiri also reported the reliability of this questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha as 0.89 and its factorial validity as appropriate [Babamiri M et al., 2015].

Intervention: acceptance and commitment therapy protocol: Procedure: After obtaining approval from the Ethics committee of Mashhad university of medical sciences and coordinating with the selected hospitals, the research implementation process began. In the first stage, the pre-test was administered to both groups (Table 1). Then, the experimental group underwent 8 weeks of group Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, consisting of weekly 90-minute sessions covering skills such as acceptance, mindfulness, value clarification, and committed action. These sessions were held in groups with full adherence to health protocols. The control group received no intervention during this period. Immediately after the intervention ended, the post-test was administered to both groups. Finally, to adhere to ethical principles, group counseling sessions were held for the control group participants. Ethical considerations included obtaining written informed consent from all participants, complete confidentiality and coding of data, the freedom to withdraw from the study at any stage, and providing free counseling services to the control group after the research ended. All procedures were carried out in compliance with health protocols and social distancing.

Data Analysis: he collected data were analyzed using SPSS software version 16, employing descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) and inferential statistics (analysis of covariance). The significance level was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics: In this study, 30 nurses who met the inclusion criteria were examined, with 15 in the experimental group and 15 in the control group. The mean and standard deviation of the participants' age was 32.4 ± 5.7 years in the experimental group and 34.1 ± 6.2 years in the control group. Regarding gender, in the experimental group, 12 (80%) were female and 3 (20%) were

TABLE 2.

Central and dispersion indicators of research variable scores in Pre-test and Post-test stages

Stage	Protection Behaviors	Perceived Stress	Somatic Symptoms
Experimental group			
Pre-test	68.93±7.21	31.60±5.84	52.20±8.73
Post-test	85.47±6.15	19.13±4.56	36.80±6.94
Control group			
Pre-test	67.33±6.58	32.27±6.40	50.93±7.85
Post-test	69.20±7.04	31.40±5.99	51.60±8.10

male, and in the control group, 13 (86.7%) were female and 2 (13.3%) were male (Table 2).

Before conducting the analysis of covariance, the assumptions of this test, including the normal distribution of data (using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test), homogeneity of variances (Levene's test), and homogeneity of regression slopes, were checked and confirmed for all variables.

Inferential Statistics: Results from Table 3 showed that after removing the effect of pre-test scores, there was a significant difference between the experimental and control groups regarding the linear combination of the dependent variables (protection behaviors, perceived stress, somatic symptoms) at the post-test stage ($p < 0.001$, $F(3,26)=18.73$). Subsequently, one-way analysis of covariance was used to examine the intervention's effect on each dependent variable separately.

The analysis of covariance results in Table 4 indicated that acceptance and commitment therapy

TABLE 3.

Results of multivariate analysis of covariance for Comparing post-test scores of groups controlling for pre-test

Effect	Value	F	Hypothesis	df	Error df	p-value	Effect Size (Partial η^2)
Group	0.26	18.73	3		26	0.001	0.74

NOTE: Value = Wilks' Lambda

TABLE 4.

Results of one-way analysis of covariance for examining the effect of group on each dependent variable separately

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p-value	Effect Size (Partial η^2)
Protection Behaviors						
Group	1188.21	1	1188.21	45.82	0.001	0.63
Error	700.34	27	25.94			
Perceived Stress						
Group	1125.58	1	1125.58	38.17	0.001	0.59
Error	796.42	27	29.50			
Somatic Symptoms						
Group	980.45	1	980.45	33.05	0.001	0.55
Error	800.89	27	29.66			

significantly increased protection behavior scores in the experimental group compared to the control group ($p < 0.001$, $F(1,27)=45.82$). The effect size (partial η^2) was 0.63, indicating a strong intervention effect. The findings also showed that implementing acceptance and commitment therapy led to a significant decrease in perceived stress scores among nurses in the experimental group compared to the control group ($p < 0.001$, $F(1,27)=38.17$). The effect size (partial η^2) for this variable was calculated as 0.59. According to the findings, somatic symptom scores in the experimental group decreased significantly after the intervention, and this difference was significant compared to the control group ($p < 0.001$, $F(1,27)=33.05$). The effect size (partial η^2) was 0.55, indicating considerable intervention effectiveness on this variable. Based on these results, the research hypotheses regarding the effect of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy on improving COVID-19 protection behaviors, reducing perceived stress, and reducing somatic symptom disorders in nurses were confirmed.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this research showed that Acceptance and Commitment Therapy was effective in improving COVID-19 protection behaviors, reducing perceived stress, and reducing somatic symptom disorders in nurses. These results are consistent with the theoretical foundations of this therapy and the findings of previous studies. The improvement in COVID-19 protection behaviors can be attributed to increased psychological flex-

ibility, which is the core aim of acceptance and commitment therapy. This therapy, by enhancing skills such as acceptance, cognitive defusion, and value clarification, helps individuals take effective, values-based actions (such as adhering to health protocols) instead of automatic, avoidance-based reactions. This finding aligns with the results of [Li W et al., 2020] study, which showed that acceptance and commitment therapy based intervention can increase preventive health behaviors. Furthermore, this result supports the study by [Moghimi S et al., 2024], which emphasized the positive correlation between health literacy and performance in COVID-19 prevention behaviors. The significant reduction in perceived stress in the experimental group is also consistent with the mechanisms of action of acceptance and commitment therapy.

The techniques of this therapy helped nurses change their relationship with stressful thoughts and feelings, accept them as transient mental events, and cease the futile struggle with them. This process leads to reduced mental turmoil and the subjective experience of stress. This result confirms the findings of [Xiang YT et al., 2020] study, which indicated the effectiveness of this therapy in reducing nurses' stress. Additionally, the research by [Talebi M, Teymuri H, 2022] showed that online acceptance and commitment therapy training leads to reduced psychological distress in nurses, which reinforces the present result. Furthermore, the reduction in somatic symptoms can be explained by the decrease in stress and anxiety levels. Chronic stress is a primary factor exacerbating or triggering psychosomatic symptoms. By managing and reducing stress through the acceptance and commitment therapy intervention, the allostatic load on the body is reduced, and consequently, the physical manifestation of psychological distress is alleviated. This finding is consistent with the results of [A-tjak JG et al., 2015] study, which showed the effectiveness of acceptance and commitment-based interventions on reducing somatic symptoms. Also, the study by [Bahrami Nasab M, Motevalli M S, 2022] indicated that psychological factors such as anxiety sensitivity can affect nurses' self-care behaviors, emphasizing the importance of psychological interventions in reducing somatic symptoms. The core processes of acceptance and commitment therapy - promoting psychological flexibility, mindful acceptance,

and values-based action—are transdiagnostic and applicable to the wide range of occupational pressures faced by healthcare professionals. The intense pressures caused by COVID-19 provided a powerful context for testing this intervention; however, the results suggest that acceptance and commitment therapy can serve as a valuable tool for building long-term resilience against job burnout, compassion fatigue, and the general psychological demands of nursing, thus constituting a sustainable investment. Overall, it can be said that acceptance and commitment therapy, by teaching adaptive coping skills to nurses, empowered them to better manage high-pressure job conditions and the psychological consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that group Acceptance and Commitment Therapy is an effective intervention for improving health behaviors related to COVID-19, reducing stress, and alleviating associated somatic symptoms in nurses. These findings emphasize the importance of attending to the mental health of healthcare workers and the necessity of imple-

menting evidence-based intervention programs, especially in critical situations. However, the generalizability of these findings should be considered in light of its limitations, the most important of which include the relatively small sample size, the use of purposive sampling, and the lack of a long-term follow-up phase to examine the durability of the intervention effects. Accordingly, it is suggested that future studies be conducted with larger sample sizes and using random sampling methods. Also, including a several-month follow-up phase in future study designs to assess the stability of therapeutic effects is recommended. Investigating the effectiveness of this intervention on other medical and treatment groups, as well as analyzing the role of mediating variables, such as psychological flexibility, in the mechanism of this therapy's effect, can pave the way for valuable future research. Given the observed effectiveness, it is recommended that health system officials and managers take effective steps towards promoting mental health and increasing the resilience of nurses and other medical personnel by organizing workshops based on the principles of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy.

REFERENCES

1. Anvari, M., Ebrahimi, A., Taher Neshatdoost, H., Afshar, H., & Abedi, A. (2014). The Effectiveness of Group-Based Acceptance and Commitment Therapy on pain-related anxiety, acceptance of pain and pain intensity in patients with chronic pain. *Journal of Isfahan Medical School (I.U.M.S)*, 32(295), 1-10.
2. A-Tjak JG, Davis ML, Morina N, Powers MB, Smits JA, Emmelkamp PM. (2015). A meta-analysis of the efficacy of acceptance and commitment therapy for clinically relevant mental and physical health problems. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2015;84(1):30-6. doi: 10.1159/000365764.
3. Azadbakht M, Garmaroodi G, Tanjani PT, Sahaf R, Shojaeizade D, Gheivandi E (2014). Health Promoting Self-Care Behaviors and Its Related Factors in Elderly: Application of Health Belief Model. *J Educ Community Health.* 2014; 1 (2) :20-29 doi: 10.20286/jech-010220
4. Babamiri M, Neisi A, Arshadi NN, Mehrabizadeh Honarmand M, Beshlideh K (2015). Job Stressors as Predictors of Psychosomatic Symptoms. *sjimu.* 2015; 23 (1): 45-55; <https://doi.org/10.22055/psy.2015.11198>
5. Bahrami Nasab M, Motevalli M S, (2022). Predicting self-care behaviors based on anxiety sensitivity, intolerance of uncertainty and personality traits in nurses working in Covid-19 ward. *Nurse and Physician Within War, 2022; Vol:10 Is: 36, 46-57*
6. Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S, Shi J, Lu L. (2020) 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empower society. *Lancet.* 2020 Feb 22;395(10224):e37-e38. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30309-3.
7. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, Rubin GJ. (2020). The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet.* 2020 Mar 14;395(10227):912-920. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8
8. Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok KH, To KK, Chu H, Yang J, (2020). A familial cluster of pneumo-

- nia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *Lancet*. 2020 15;395(10223):514-523. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9
9. Chen H, Guo J, Wang C, Luo F, Yu X, Zhang W, (2020). Clinical characteristics and intra-uterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. *Lancet*. 2020 Mar 7; 395(10226): 809-815. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30360-3
 10. Cismaru M., (2006). Using protection motivation theory to increase the persuasiveness of public service communications. SIPP Public Policy Paper No. 40 February 2006
 11. Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 24(4), 385–396. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2136404>
 12. Creed F, Henningsen P, Fink P (2011). Medically unexplained symptoms, somatisation and bodily distress: developing better clinical services. 1st ed. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2011, 268 pages
 13. Darafshi Ghahroudi S, Bondarianzadeh D., Houshiar-Rad A, Naseri E., Shakibazadeh E., Zayeri F. (2013). Relationship Between perception of cardiovascular disease risk based on the Health Belief Model and food intake in a group of public employees in Tehran, 1391. *Iranian Journal of Nutrition Sciences & Food Technology*. 2013; 8 (3) :55-64.
 14. Floyd, D. L., Prentice-Dunn, S., & Rogers, R. W. (2000). A meta-analysis of research on protection motivation theory. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 30(2), 407–429. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2000.tb02323.x>
 15. Haljin R, Krasevitborn S, (2010). Abnormal psychology about psychological disorder. Translated by: Seyed Mohamadi Y. Tehran: Publisher McGraw-Hill Humanities/Social Sciences/Languages, November 1, 2012, 7th Edition, 576 pages
 16. Hayes SC et al., Pistorello J, Levin ME (2012). Acceptance and commitment therapy as a unified model of behavior change. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 2012; 40(7):976-1002. DOI: 10.1177/0011000012460836
 17. Huang C (2020). Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 497–506.
 18. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y et al., (2020). Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020,15; 395 (10223): 497-506. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5.
 19. Kang L, Li Y, Hu S, Chen M, Yang C, Yang BX, et al., (2020). The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(3):e14. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30047-X.
 20. Levenson JL, (2006). Editor. *Essentials of Psychosomatic Medicine*. American Psychiatric Press Inc., 2006. 590P.
 21. Li L, Ruan H, Yuan W-J, (2015). The relationship between social support and burnout among ICU nurses in Shanghai: A cross-sectional study. *Chinese Nursing Research*. 2015 Jun 1;2(2-3):45-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnre.2015.04.003>
 22. Li W, Yang Y, Liu ZH, Zhao YJ, Zhang Q, Zhang L, et al., (2020). Progression of Mental Health Services during the COVID-19 Outbreak in China. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2020 Mar 15;16(10):1732-1738. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.45120.
 23. Liu Q, Luo D, Haase JE, Guo Q, Wang XQ, Liu S, et al., (2020). The experiences of health-care providers during the COVID-19 crisis in China: a qualitative study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2020 Jun;8(6):e790-e798. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30204-7
 24. Mahmoodi N, Malekshahi M, (2012). Investigation of the relationship between self-esteem and coping strategies of high school students with psychosomatic disorders of Zanjan province. 4th international congress on psychosomatic; 2012; Oct, 17-19. Azad university, Isfahan, Iran.
 25. Mehta S, Machado F, Kwizera A, Papazian L, Moss M, Azoulay É, Herridge M. (2021). COVID-19: a heavy toll on health-care workers. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2021 Mar;9(3):226-228. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00068-0
 26. Milne, S., Sheeran, P., & Orbell, S. (2000). Prediction and intervention in health-related behavior: A meta-analytic review of protection motivation theory. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 30(1), 106–143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2000.tb02308.x>

27. Moghimi S., Seraji M., Arab Borzu Z., Eghdam F., & Rezaei, S. (2024). Relationship of Health Literacy With Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Regarding the Preventive Behaviors Against COVID-19 in Women in Zahedan, Southeast Iran. *Iran Journal of Nursing* 2024; 36 (146) :538-549, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32598/IJN.36.146.3323.3>
28. Mohr GB, (2000). The changing significance of different stressors after the announcement of bankruptcy: A longitudinal investigation with special emphasis on job insecurity. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*. 200; 21(3): 337-359. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1379\(200005\)21:3<337::AID-JOB18>3.0.CO;2-G](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1379(200005)21:3<337::AID-JOB18>3.0.CO;2-G)
29. Riahi M E, (2009). Supervisors' knowledge of hospital infections control in one of the medical sciences universities related hospitals. *J Mil Med*. 2009; 11 (3) :175-182.
30. Salehi Ghadri J, (1994). Efficacy of multiplicity of roles and satisfaction with it on the self esteem and stress in female teachers. MA Thesis, Tarbiat Modares University, 1994.
31. Sepahvand, T., Gilani, B., & Reza, Z.G. (2009). The relationship between explanatory (attribution) styles with perceived stress and general health. *Journal of Psychology* 2009; 12 :27-43.
32. Shirbim Z, Sudani M, Shafieabadi A (2008). The effectiveness of the stress management Skills training on the increase of the Scholar's mental health, *Thought and Behavior in Clinical Psychology*, 2008; 2(8): 7. magiran.com/p759308
33. Talebi M, Teymuri H, (2022). The Effectiveness of Online Training in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy on Psychological Distress and Resilience of Nurses with Covid-19. *Journal of Nursing Education* . 2022; 11 (2) :1-11 DOI: 10.22034/JNE.11.2.1.
34. Tarrant MA Cordell HK, Kibler TL et al., (1997). Measuring perceived crowding for high-density river recreation: the effects of situational conditions and personal factors. *Leisure Sciences*. 1997 Apr 1;19(2):97-112. DOI:10.1080/01490409709512242
35. Thomas N, Shawyer F, Castle DJ, Copolov D, Hayes SC, Farhall J. (2014). A randomised controlled trial of acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) for psychosis: study protocol. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014 Jul 11;14:198. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-14-198
36. WHO, (2020). Laboratory testing for 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in suspected human cases. Interim guidance. Jan 17, 2020. <https://www.who.int/publications-detail/laboratory-testing-for-2019-novel-coronavirus-in-suspected-human-cases-20200117> (accessed Feb 4, 2020).
37. Xiang YT, Zhao YJ, Liu ZH, Li XH, Zhao N, Cheung T, Ng CH. (2020). The COVID-19 outbreak and psychiatric hospitals in China: managing challenges through mental health service reform. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2020 Mar 15;16(10):1741-1744. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.45072. PMID: 32226293
38. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, (2020). Novel Coronavirus Investigating and Research Team. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Feb 20;382(8):727-733. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017



CONTENTS

4. **DAS A.C., SAMIR P.V., KHAN S.H., FERNANDES B., ARYA A., MUSTAFA M.**
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN THERAPEUTIC DECISION-MAKING FOR COMPLEX DENTAL DISEASES: A REVIEW
11. **ALAM M.K. ALMOHAMMED Y.E.M., HAJEER M.Y., ALANAZI A.W.N., ALANAZI F.S.A., ALNAWMASI Y.M.F.**
LABORATORY ASSESSMENT OF CRISPR-MEDIATED MODULATION OF OSTEOBLASTIC AND OSTEOCLASTIC GENE EXPRESSION UNDER SIMULATED ORTHODONTIC FORCE
17. **GEORGE A.L., PANICKER P., FRANCIS F., RAGHUNANDANAN S., MOHIDEEN K., ALMUTAIRY M.F.**
CAR-T-INSPIRED IMMUNOMODULATORY NANOVESICLES FOR TARGETED ELIMINATION OF ORAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA CELLS
23. **ALFAWZAN A.A., ALAM M.K., HAJEER M.Y.**
IN-VITRO EVALUATION OF NANOPARTICLE-REINFORCED ORTHODONTIC ADHESIVES FOR ENHANCED SHEAR BOND STRENGTH AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY
30. **JADHAV S., PATRI G., BEHERA S.S.P., BANIK A., ARYA A., MUSTAFA M.**
STEM-CELL-DERIVED BIOENGINEERED DENTAL PULP CONSTRUCTS FOR VITAL PULP THERAPY: A RANDOMIZED LABORATORY TRIAL
36. **TUENKAR Y.A., SHANKARGOUDA S., SEHDEV B., SINGH R.B., RAMAMURTHY J., MAHAPATRA N.**
AI-GUIDED PERSONALIZED DRUG-DELIVERY NANOPARTICLES FOR PRECISION TREATMENT OF PERI-IMPLANTITIS: A MULTICENTER EVALUATION
42. **SADAT MANSOURI S., DADEHBEIGLOU P., NEMATI ANARAKI S., RAHMATPANAH K.**
CYANOACRYLATE VS. DENTIN BONDING ON REDUCING DENTAL SENSITIVITY
50. **JALALUDDIN M., CALIAPEROMAL S.K., JAYANTI I., PATIL M., RAMAMURTHY J., MUSTAFA M.**
MRNA-BASED REGENERATION OF PERIODONTAL LIGAMENT FIBROBLASTS: A TRANSLATIONAL PILOT STUDY
56. **AZATYAN V.YU., YESSAYAN L.K., SHMAVONYAN M.V., MURADYAN A.A.**
EVALUATING THE EFFECTS OF CIGARETTE SMOKING AND HEATED TOBACCO PRODUCTS ON THE ORAL MUCOSA AND PERIODONTIUM IN PATIENTS WITH HEPATIT C VIRUS IN ARMENIA: A PILOT STUDY
65. **MOHAMMADI E., NAZARBAGHI S., HAJIESMAELLO M.**
COMPARATIVE EFFICACY OF LOW-LEVEL LASER THERAPY AND TRANSCUTANEOUS ELECTRICAL NERVE STIMULATION IN THE MANAGEMENT OF DIABETIC PERIPHERAL NEUROPATHY: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL
73. **HOSSEINIAZAR M.M., KABOUDMEHRI M., ROOSTA Y.**
PREDICTIVE VALUE OF SERUM TRACE ELEMENTS FOR CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC EFFICACY IN GASTRIC AND COLON CANCER: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY
82. **ESMAELZADEH M., ASHT'A'RI MEHRJARDI A., MOHAMMADINIA O., MOHAMMADPOUR S., HOKMABADI M.E., AMINI F., AZIZI T., VAKILI AHRARI RODI M.**
NEW HORIZONS IN SUBSTANCE ABUSE DISORDER: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF EPIGENETIC MECHANISMS AND MULTIDIMENSIONAL PERSPECTIVES (2023–2025)
90. **SHAHROKHI-FARD P., SAGHEBI A., TALAEI A.**
EFFECTIVENESS OF ACCEPTANCE AND COMMITMENT THERAPY ON COVID-19 PROTECTION INDICATORS, PHYSICAL DISORDER SYMPTOMS, AND PERCEIVED STRESS IN HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL IN MASHHAD HOSPITALS
98. **SURKUNDA T.S., STANLEY W., ELENJICKAL V., BALLAL A., NAGARAJU S., BOPPE S., KAMATH N., SHASTRY B.**
CLINICAL FEATURES, OUTCOMES AND COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA OF INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS AT A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE: A RETROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY
- 108 **SABERI M.K., MOKHTARI H., HOSEINI AHANGARI S.A., OUCHI A., SHOURCHEH B.**
THE ONLINE ATTENTION TO SPIRITUAL HEALTH RESEARCH: AN ALTMETRIC ANALYSIS
- 118 **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**
A GENERALIZED ANALYTICAL REVIEW OF ARTICLES IN A ISSUE 2 ON ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES IN MODERN STOMATOLOGY



The Journal is founded by
Yerevan State Medical
University after M. Heratsi.

Rector of YSMU

Armen A. *MURADYAN*

Address for correspondence:

Yerevan State Medical University
2 Koryun Street, Yerevan 0025,
Republic of Armenia

Phones:

(+37410) 582532 YSMU

(+37493) 588697 Editor-in-Chief

Fax: (+37410) 582532

E-mail: namj.ysmu@gmail.com, ysmiu@mail.ru

URL: <http://www.ysmu.am>

Our journal is registered in the databases of Scopus, EBSCO and Thomson Reuters (in the registration process)



SCOPUS



EBSCO



THE GUFO

Print in "Monoprint" LLC
Director: Armen Armenakyan
Andraniks St., 96/8 Bulding
Yerevan, 0064, Armenia
Phone: (+37491) 40 25 86
E-mail: monoprint1@mail.ru

TheGufo is an online database platform designed to help researchers publish and share their scientific work on a global scale. Our company was founded to address the need for an affordable and user-friendly platform that removes many of the barriers traditionally imposed by the publishing industry. All scientific work published through TheGufo complies with Creative Commons 4.0 and other recognized standards to ensure authenticity, proper referencing, and academic integrity. Each submission undergoes a detailed peer-review process prior to publication.

Our mission is to provide researchers worldwide with a professional, accessible, and cost-effective platform to share both new and existing work with their peers. To further encourage participation, we also offer special promotional programs for academic institutions.

Dear reader, to access our website, please follow the link below
<https://thegufo.com/> <https://>

Editor-in-Chief

Arto V. *ZILFYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Deputy Editors

Hovhannes M. *MANVELYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Hamayak S. *SISAKYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Executive Secretary

Stepan A. *AVAGYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Editorial Board

Armen A. *MURADYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Drastamat N. *KHUDAVERDYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Suren A. *STEPANYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Foregin Members of the Editorial Board

Waleed *GHANIMA* (Oslo, Norway)

Carsten N. *Gutt* (Memmingen, GERMAY)

Ming-Hua *ZHENG* (Wenzhou, China)

Coordinating Editor (for this number)

Farzad *KARIMPOUR* (Yasuj, IR Iran)

Editorial Advisory Council

Vahe Yu. *AZATYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ara S. *BABLOYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ines *BANJARI* (Osijek, Croatia)

Azat A. *ENGIBARYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Mahdi *ESMAEILZADEH* (Mashhad, IR Iran)

Ruben V. *FANARJYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Gabriele *FRAGASSO* (Milano, Italy)

Samvel G. *GALSTYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Armen Dz. *HAMBARDZUMYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Airazat M. *KAZARYAN* (Oslo, Norway)

Seyran P. *KOCHARYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Levon M. *MKRTCHYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ashot M. *MKRTUMYAN* (MoscowRussia)

Mariam R *MOVSIYAN* (Gumri, Armenia)

Mikhail Z. *NARIMANYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Sevak *SHAHAZYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Arthur K. *SHUKURYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Gevorg N. *TAMAMYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Marine M. *TANASHYAN* (Moscow, Russia)

Hakob V. *TOPCHYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Alexander *WOODMAN* (London, England)

Konstantin B. *YENKOYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Yumei *NIU* (Harbin, China)

Peijun *WANG* (Harbin, Chine)