



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56936/18290825-2026.20v.2-23>

## IN-VITRO EVALUATION OF NANOPARTICLE-REINFORCED ORTHODONTIC ADHESIVES FOR ENHANCED SHEAR BOND STRENGTH AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY

ALFAWZAN A.A.<sup>1\*</sup>, ALAM M.K.<sup>1,2, 3\*</sup>, HAJEER M.Y.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Preventive Dentistry Department, College of Dentistry, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Public Health, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Daffodil International University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup> Dental Research Cell, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Chennai, India

Received 2.12.2025; Accepted for printing 14.05.2026

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** White spot lesions and bracket bond failures remain common complications of fixed orthodontic therapy. Conventional orthodontic adhesives lack sustained antimicrobial activity, allowing biofilm formation by *Streptococcus mutans*. Incorporation of antimicrobial nanoparticles into adhesive systems may enhance biological performance without compromising mechanical properties.

**Material and Methods:** Eighty extracted human premolars were randomly allocated into four groups ( $n = 20$ ): Group I (control adhesive), Group II (1% titanium dioxide nanoparticles), Group III (1% silver nanoparticles), and Group IV (hybrid: 0.5%  $TiO_2$  + 0.5% Ag nanoparticles). Shear bond strength was evaluated using a universal testing machine, while antimicrobial efficacy against *Streptococcus mutans* was assessed using a colony-forming unit assay. Adhesive remnant index scores were recorded to determine failure patterns.

**Results:** Mean shear bond strength values were  $21.45 \pm 2.31$  MPa (control),  $22.15 \pm 2.84$  MPa ( $TiO_2$ ),  $17.85 \pm 3.15$  MPa (Ag), and  $20.95 \pm 2.62$  MPa (hybrid). The silver nanoparticle group demonstrated significantly reduced bond strength compared with the control ( $p < 0.05$ ), whereas the  $TiO_2$  and hybrid groups showed no significant differences. Antimicrobial testing revealed substantial reductions in *Streptococcus mutans* growth in the silver (88.4%) and hybrid (82.1%) groups compared with the control ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**Conclusion:** Hybrid incorporation of titanium dioxide and silver nanoparticles provides effective antimicrobial activity while maintaining clinically acceptable shear bond strength. This approach represents a promising strategy for reducing white spot lesion development during fixed orthodontic treatment.

**KEYWORDS:** orthodontic adhesives, nanoparticles, shear bond strength, antimicrobial activity, silver, titanium dioxide, white spot lesions

### CITE THIS ARTICLE AS:

ALFAWZAN A.A., ALAM M.K., HAJEER M.Y. (2026). In-Vitro Evaluation of Nanoparticle-Reinforced Orthodontic Adhesives for Enhanced Shear Bond Strength and Antimicrobial Activity; The New Armenian Medical Journal, vol.20 (2), 23-29; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56936/18290825-2026.20v.2-23>

### ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:

Ahmed Ali Alfawzan; Consultant Orthodontist,  
Department of Orthodontics and Pediatric Dentistry,  
College of Dentistry, Qassim University Buraydah,  
Qassim, PO Box: 51452, Saudi Arabia  
Tel.: +966 553936688  
E-mail: ah.alfawzan@qu.edu.sa

Mohammad Khursheed Alam; PhD, Professor  
Department of Preventive Dentistry College of Dentistry,  
Jouf University Sakaka 72345, Saudi Arabia  
Tel: +966 535602339  
E-mail: mkalam@ju.edu.sa

## INTRODUCTION

Ideal orthodontic adhesive has been an outstanding theme in the research of dental materials over decades. Although bracket retention to enamel by mechanical methods has been standardized successfully using acid-etching technology and composite resin, the biological interface of the adhesive and oral environment is a significant issue [Matasa C, 1989; Bishara S et al., 2008]. Fixed orthodontic appliances cause a complicated condition that hinders oral health causing the buildup of bacteria plaque and determining it to be a major risk factor in the development of White spot lesions [Ogaard B, 2008].

White spot lesions are the initial clinical indicators of carious demineralization and they appear in up to 50% of the orthodontic patients, and in many cases, during the first month of therapy [Tufekci E et al., 2011]. This transition zone between the enamel and adhesive resin has been specifically vulnerable to colonization by cariogenic pathogens, most of which are *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* species that ferment carbohydrates to form organic acid [Gorelick L et al., 1982]. This has led to high demand of orthodontic adhesives with bioactive characteristics that are able to inhibit colonization of bacteria without compromise to mechanical integrity [Altmann A et al., 2016].

Traditionally, the glass ionomer cements that release fluoride were introduced to fight demineralization. Nevertheless, they have lower mechanical behavior as they tend to have poorer shear bond strength than composite resins, which results in increased failure rates of brackets [Rogers S et al., 2010]. This mechanical and biological efficacy dichotomy has motivated the investigation in nanotechnology [Allaker R, 2010]. With an at least one-dimensional dimension smaller than 100 nm, nanoparticles (NPs) have specific physicochemical properties because of the large surface-area-volume ratio [Hernandez-Sierra J et al., 2008].

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have received a lot of interest as they possess a wide-spectrum antimicrobial activity. AgNPs cause cell wall disruption and influencing of the bacterial cells DNA replication [Ahn S et al., 2009]. Nonetheless, at very high levels of silver the extent of conversion during polymerization is influenced and esthetic discolouration (greying) of the adhesive also results [Moreira D et al., 2015]. On the other hand,

Titanium Dioxide nanoparticles (TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs) are one that is biocompatible, chemically stable and shows photocatalytic antimicrobial effects [Sodagar A et al., 2017]. Additionally, TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs have also been reported to serve as an inorganic filler which could be used to strengthen the polymer and could result in the improvement of fracture toughness and bond strength [Elsaka S et al., 2011].

Although these nanoparticles have been investigated individually, lack of studies on the hybridization of metal and metal-oxide nanoparticles in orthodontic adhesives is eminent despite the fact these nanoparticles have been studied on their own. The combination of mechanical reinforcement of TiO<sub>2</sub> and potent antimicrobial effect of Ag could be a hybrid approach, which would reduce the disadvantages of using high concentrations of one agent [Garcia-Contreras R et al., 2015].

Thus, this research was designed to combine and analyze a new experimental orthodontic adhesive in which a hybrid of TiO<sub>2</sub>, and Ag nanoparticles are reinforced. The null hypothesis was that there would be no significant difference in shear bond strength or antimicrobial effects of the incorporation of these nanoparticles on the antimicrobial effects of a conventional adhesive that did not incorporate these nanoparticles.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Study Design and Ethical Considerations:*

Eighty human maxillary first premolars, extracted for orthodontic therapeutic purposes, were collected.

**Sample Size Calculation:** Based on a pilot study (effect size  $f=0.4$ ,  $\alpha=0.05$ , power  $1-\beta=0.80$ ), a sample size of  $n=18$  per group was calculated. To account for potential sample loss during testing,  $n=20$  per group was selected.

**Sample Selection and Preparation:** Inclusion criteria involved teeth with intact buccal enamel, no cracks, no caries, and no prior chemical pretreatment. Teeth with fluorosis, hypoplasia, or visible enamel defects were excluded. The teeth were cleaned of soft tissue debris, stored in 0.1% thymol solution for one week, and then transferred to distilled water. The roots were embedded in cold-curing acrylic resin using cylindrical molds (20 mm × 25 mm) so that the buccal surface was perpen-

dicular to the mold base. The buccal surfaces were polished with pumice and water using a rubber cup for 10 seconds, rinsed, and dried.

**Preparation of Nanocomposites:** A commercially available orthodontic light-cure adhesive (Transbond XT, 3M Unitek) served as the control and base material. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs, greater than 50 nm, spherical) and Titanium Dioxide nanoparticles (TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs, greater than 25 nm, anatase phase) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Four experimental groups were established:

- Group I (Control): Unmodified Transbond XT.
- Group II: Transbond XT + 1% (w/w) TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs.
- Group III: Transbond XT + 1% (w/w) AgNPs.
- Group IV (Hybrid): Transbond XT + 0.5% TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs + 0.5% AgNPs.

The nanoparticles were weighed using a precision electronic balance and incorporated into the composite paste in a dark room to prevent premature polymerization. Mixing was performed using a centrifugal planetary mixer (SpeedMixer DAC 150) at 3000 rpm for 2 minutes to ensure homogeneous dispersion.

**Bonding Procedure:** The buccal enamel was etched with 37% phosphoric acid gel for 30 seconds, rinsed for 15 seconds, and air-dried until chalky white. A thin coat of primer (Transbond XT Primer) was applied and light-cured for 10 seconds. Stainless steel premolar brackets (0.022-slot, 3M Unitek) were bonded using the designated adhesive for each group. Excess adhesive was removed with an explorer, and the adhesive was light-cured for 20 seconds (10s mesial, 10s distal) using an LED curing light (1200 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>). All samples underwent thermocycling (500 cycles, 5°C to 55°C, dwell time 30s) to simulate oral aging.

**Shear bond strength testing (n=10/group):** Specimens were secured in the lower jaw of a Universal Testing Machine (Instron Model 5566). A shearing blade was positioned at the bracket-enamel interface. A compressive load was applied at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min until bond failure occurred. The maximum load (Newtons) was recorded and divided by the bracket base area (10.6 mm<sup>2</sup>) to calculate shear bond strength in Megapascals (MPa).

**Adhesive remnant index evaluation:** Following debonding, the enamel surfaces were examined

under a stereomicroscope (10× magnification) to classify the failure mode using the adhesive remnant index score:

- Score 0: No adhesive left on the tooth.
- Score 1: Less than half of the adhesive left on the tooth.
- Score 2: More than half of the adhesive left on the tooth.
- Score 3: All adhesive left on the tooth.

**Antimicrobial Assessment (n=10/group):** The direct contact test was used to evaluate activity against *Streptococcus mutans* (ATCC 25175). Composite discs (5 mm × 2 mm) of each group were fabricated and sterilized under UV light. The discs were placed in 24-well plates containing Brain Heart Infusion broth inoculated with 1×10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL of *S. mutans*. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Following incubation, 100 μL of the suspension was plated onto blood agar plates. After 24 hours, the number of Colony forming units was counted.

**Statistical Analysis:** Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25.0. Normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Shear bond strength and Colony forming units data were analyzed using One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test. Adhesive remnant index scores were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. A significance level of p<0.05 was established.

## RESULTS

**Shear bond strength:** The descriptive statistics for the shear bond strength values are presented in Table 1. The Shapiro-Wilk test indicated a normal distribution of data. One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences among the groups (F=14.22, p<0.001).

The Control group (Group I) exhibited a mean shear bond strength of 21.45±2.31 MPa. The highest mean shear bond strength was observed in Group

**TABLE 1.**  
Shear bond strength (MPa) of the study groups.

|     | Composition             | N  | Mean  | SD   | Min  | Max  |
|-----|-------------------------|----|-------|------|------|------|
| I   | Control (0% NPs)        | 10 | 21.45 | 2.31 | 18.2 | 25.1 |
| II  | 1% TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs | 10 | 22.15 | 2.84 | 17.9 | 26.5 |
| III | 1% AgNPs                | 10 | 17.85 | 3.15 | 13.4 | 22.0 |
| IV  | Hybrid                  | 10 | 20.95 | 2.62 | 16.8 | 24.8 |

TABLE 2.

Frequency of adhesive remnant index scores.

|     | Score 0<br>n(%) | Score 1<br>n(%) | Score 2<br>n(%) | Score 3<br>n(%) | Total |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| I   | 1 (10%)         | 4 (40%)         | 4 (40%)         | 1 (10%)         | 10    |
| II  | 0 (0%)          | 5 (50%)         | 4 (40%)         | 1 (10%)         | 10    |
| III | 2 (20%)         | 5 (50%)         | 3 (30%)         | 0 (0%)          | 10    |
| IV  | 1 (10%)         | 4 (40%)         | 4 (40%)         | 1 (10%)         | 10    |

II (TiO<sub>2</sub> 1%), recording 22.15±2.84 MPa. The Hybrid group (Group IV) showed a mean shear bond strength of 20.95±2.62 MPa. Tukey's post-hoc analysis indicated no statistically significant difference between Groups I, II, and IV (p>0.05). However, Group III (Ag 1%) demonstrated the lowest bond strength at 17.85±3.15 MPa, which was significantly lower than all other groups (p<0.05).

**Adhesive Remnant Index:** The frequency distribution of Adhesive remnant index scores is summarized in Table 2. The Kruskal-Wallis test showed no significant differences in the distribution of failure modes among the groups (p=0.342). The predominant failure mode across all groups was cohesive failure within the adhesive (Score 1 and 2), indicating that the bond to the enamel was generally stronger than the internal strength of the adhesive or the bond to the bracket base.

**Antimicrobial Activity:** The results of the colony count assay against *S. mutans* are presented in Table 3. ANOVA revealed highly significant differences among the groups (p<0.001). The Control group (Group I) showed the highest bacterial growth (148.5×10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL). Group II (TiO<sub>2</sub>) showed a moderate reduction in bacterial growth compared to the control (112.3×10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL). Group III (Ag 1%) exhibited the most potent antimicrobial activity (17.2×10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL), representing an 88.4% reduction compared to the control. The Hybrid Group IV also demonstrated high efficacy (26.5×10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL), representing an 82.1% reduction.

TABLE 3.

Mean colony forming units (CFU) of *S. mutans* (×10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL).

|     | Mean CFU<br>(×10 <sup>5</sup> ) | SD   | Reduction (%)<br>vs Control | P-value<br>(vs Control) |
|-----|---------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| I   | 148.5                           | 12.4 | -                           | -                       |
| II  | 112.3                           | 9.8  | 24.3%                       | <0.05                   |
| III | 17.2                            | 4.1  | 88.4%                       | <0.001                  |
| IV  | 26.5                            | 5.5  | 82.1%                       | <0.001                  |

## DISCUSSION

The current research paper investigated the possibility of the creation of bioactive orthodontic adhesive by adding Titanium Dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) and Silver (Ag) nanoparticles. The findings partly gave rise to the null hypothesis rejection; although the nanoparticles had great impact on antimicrobial properties, their impact on shear bond strength differed among different compositions. In particular, the hybrid group showed a positive balance, and the mechanical integrity of the group was not compromised but offered a high level of antimicrobial activity.

**Shear Bond Strength Analysis:** Orthodontically and masticatorially, an adhesive should be able to withstand forces. Reynolds had proposed that most clinical requirements in the 6-8 MPa bond strength is adequate [Reynolds I, 1975], but today most composites attain 15-25 MPa. In this experiment, all the groups including the nanoparticle-modified ones surpassed the minimum clinical requirement.

When 1 percent TiO<sub>2</sub> (Group II) was added, there was a minimal, but not statistically significant rise in shear bond strength over the control. This is in accordance with other researchers who indicated that metal oxide nanoparticles could serve as inorganic fillers that would decrease the shrinkage in polymerization and strengthen the resin matrix [Poosti M et al., 2013]. The TiO<sub>2</sub> particles must have enhanced the load transfer mechanism in the composite.

Group III (1% AgNPs) on the other hand showed a large decrease in shear bond strength (17.85 MPa). This decreasing tendency is congruent with a so-called cluster effect of nanoparticles literature [Cheng L et al., 2012]. With increasing concentration, the AgNPs have a tendency of agglomerating, which forms the points of stress concentration that undermine the polymer matrix. Moreover, the transparency of the silver nanoparticles might block the transfer of the light in the process of photo-polymerization, which may reduce the extent of the transformation of the resin monomers [Yamamoto K et al., 1996].

The Hybrid Group (IV) was able to overcome this problem. The adhesive was able to sustain the same shear bond strength (20.95 MPa) that was statistically comparable to the control by reducing the percentage of silver to 0.5% and adding 0.5%

TiO<sub>2</sub>. The TiO<sub>2</sub> must have counteracted the structural drawbacks that the silver had brought in, which can be substantiated by the recent findings of hybrid nanocomposites [Akhavan A et al., 2013].

**Antimicrobial Efficacy:** The antimicrobial test indicated the active effectiveness of silver. In group III and IV, there was a drastic decrease in *S. mutans* growth (88.4% and 82.1%, respectively). The AgNPs are multimodal, i.e., silver ions (Ag<sup>+</sup>) escaping particles interfere with metabolic pathways by binding to thiol groups in bacterial enzymes, and enter the cell wall by interacting with DNA [Morones J et al., 2005]. This mechanism of contact-killing is the essential one in the prevention of biofilm formation around the bracket bases.

Group II (TiO<sub>2</sub> alone) recorded a slight decrease of 24.3%. TiO<sub>2</sub> antimicrobial works are mainly photocatalytic; under UV light, TiO<sub>2</sub> can produce reactive oxygen species, which destroy bacterial membranes [Chorianopoulos N et al., 2011]. Nevertheless, at intraoral where UV exposure is low, its antimicrobial capacity is less than that of silver, which is the reason behind the reduced effectiveness in Group II.

The Hybrid group showed that a low concentration of 0.5% Ag may be enough to cause potent antimicrobial effect and postulated that there will be non-linear dose-response relationship since even lower concentrations will result in a growth-arresting floor in bacteria [Degrazia F et al., 2016].

**Clinical Implications:** The formation of White Spot Lesions is a medico-legal and aesthetic issue in orthodontic practices [Chapman J et al., 2010]. The hybrid adhesive had the capability of reducing the colonization of *S. mutans* by greater than 80 percent which could be translated clinically to a dramatically less incidence of demineralization. Moreover, since the hybrid group ensured a high

bond strength, there would be no need to compromise between appliance retention and preventive health as it would be in glass ionomer cements [Rix D et al., 2001].

**Limitations:** This research was an in-vitro research. It was not a complete simulation of the complicated oral environment, which incorporates variations in pH and salivary enzymes, and a variety of microbial flora. The kinetics of long-term release of the silver ions were not tested, and it cast doubts on the longevity of the antimicrobial effect. Also, although the adhesive remnant index scores showed safe debonding, the enamel surface roughness analysis (profilometry) was not performed. Future research ought to concentrate on the in-vivo models and aging simulations over the long term [Elnafar, A. et al., 2014].

### CONCLUSION

The following inferences can be made within the constraints of the study that was conducted in-vitro:

- Addition of 1 percent Silver nanoparticles greatly improves antimicrobial property but reduces the shear bond strength of the orthodontic adhesive.
- Inclusion of Titanium dioxide nanoparticles at 1 percent ensures continuity in bond strength but it has poor antimicrobial protection properties without the presence of UV light.
- The best trade off is a hybrid nanocomposite with 0.5% TiO<sub>2</sub> and 0.5% Ag nanoparticles, with a strong antimicrobial effect on *S. mutans* as well as high shear bond strength that either can be compared to traditional adhesives.
- The hybrid adhesive was a potential item that will help to decrease the risks of White Spot Lesions in fixed orthodontic treatment.

## REFERENCES

1. Ahn SJ, Lee SJ, Kook JK, Lim BS (2009). Experimental antimicrobial orthodontic adhesives using nanofillers and silver nanoparticles. *Dent Mater.* 25(2):206-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2008.06.012>
2. Akhavan A, Sodagar A, Mojtahedzadeh F, Sodagar K (2013). Assessing the effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on the shear bond strength of orthodontic adhesives. *J Dent (Tehran)*. 10(5):421-30.
3. Allaker RP (2010). The use of nanoparticles to control oral biofilm formation. *J Dent Res.* 89(11):1175-86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034510377794>

4. Altmann AS, Collares FM, Leitune VC, Samuel SM (2016). The effect of antimicrobial agents on bond strength of orthodontic adhesives: a meta-analysis of in vitro studies. *Orthod Craniofac Res.* 19(1):1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ocr.12100>
5. Bishara SE, Soliman MM, Laffoon JF, Warren J (2008). Shear bond strength of a new high fluoride release glass ionomer adhesive. *Angle Orthod.* 78(1):125-8. <https://doi.org/10.2319/011507-18.1>
6. Chapman JA, Roberts WE, Eckert GJ, Kula KS, Baldini C (2010). Risk factors for incidence and severity of white spot lesions during treatment with fixed orthodontic appliances. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 138(2):188-94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2010.04.016>
7. Cheng L, Weir MD, Xu HH, Antonucci JM, Kraigsley AM, Lin NJ, et al. (2012). Antibacterial amorphous calcium phosphate nanocomposites with a quaternary ammonium dimethacrylate and silver nanoparticles. *Dent Mater.* 28(5):561-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2012.01.013>
8. Chorianopoulos NG, Tsoukleris DS, Panagou EZ, Falaras P, Nychas GJ (2011). Use of titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) photocatalysts as alternative means for *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilm disinfection in food processing. *Food Microbiol.* 28(1):164-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2010.07.025>
9. Degrazia FW, Leitune VC, Garcia IM, Arthur RA, Samuel SM, Collares FM (2016). Effect of silver nanoparticles on the physicochemical and antimicrobial properties of an orthodontic adhesive. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 24(4):404-10. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-775720160154>
10. Elnafar, A. A., Alam, M. K., & Hasan, R. (2014). The impact of surface preparation on shear bond strength of metallic orthodontic brackets bonded with a resin-modified glass ionomer cement. *Journal of Orthodontics*, 41(3), 201–207. <https://doi.org/10.1179/1465313314Y.0000000097>
11. Elsaka SE, Hamouda IM, Swain MV (2011). Titanium dioxide nanoparticles addition to a conventional glass-ionomer restorative: influence on physical and antibacterial properties. *J Dent.* 39(9):589-98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2011.05.006>
12. Garcia-Contreras R, Scougall-Vilchis RJ, Contreras-Bulnes R, Sakagami H, Morales-Luckie RA, Nakajima H (2015). Mechanical, antibacterial and bond strength properties of nano-titanium-enriched glass ionomer cement. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 23(3):321-8. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-775720140280>
13. Gorelick L, Geiger AM, Gwinnett AJ (1982). Incidence of white spot formation after bonding and banding. *Am J Orthod.* 81(2):93-8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416\(82\)90032-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416(82)90032-X)
14. Hernandez-Sierra JF, Ruiz F, Cruz-Guerrero DC, Martinez-Gutierrez F, Martinez AE, Pozos-Guillen AJ, et al. (2008). The antimicrobial sensitivity of *Streptococcus mutans* to nanoparticles of silver, zinc oxide, and gold. *Nanomedicine.* 4(3):237-40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2008.04.005>
15. Matasa CG (1989). Adhesion and its ten commandments. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 95(4):355-6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-5406\(89\)90271-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-5406(89)90271-5)
16. Moreira DM, Oei J, Rawls HR, Wagner J, Chu CC, Whang K, et al. (2015). A novel antimicrobial orthodontic composite containing silver nanoparticles. *Angle Orthod.* 85(4):666-73. <https://doi.org/10.2319/050314-318.1>
17. Morones JR, Elechiguerra JL, Camacho A, Holt K, Kouri JB, Ramirez JT, et al. (2005). The bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology.* 16(10):2346-53. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/16/10/059>
18. Ogaard B (2008). White spot lesions during orthodontic treatment: mechanisms and fluoride preventive aspects. *Semin Orthod.* 14(3):183-93. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.sodo.2008.07.003>
19. Poosti M, Ramazanzadeh B, Zebarjad M, Javadzadeh P, Naderinasab M, Shakeri MT (2013). Shear bond strength and antibacterial effects of orthodontic composite containing TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. *Eur J Orthod.* 35(5):676-9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejo/cjs073>

20. Reynolds IR (1975). A review of direct orthodontic bonding. *Br J Orthod.* 2(3):171-8. <https://doi.org/10.1179/bjo.2.3.171>
21. Rix D, Foley TF, Mamandras A (2001). Comparison of bond strength of three adhesives: composite resin, hybrid GIC, and glass-filled GIC. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 119(1):36-42. <https://doi.org/10.1067/mod.2001.110167>
22. Rogers S, Chadwick R, Treasure E (2010). Fluoride-containing orthodontic adhesives and decalcification in patients with fixed appliances: a systematic review. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 138(4):390.e1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2010.01.026>
23. Sodagar A, Akhoundi MSA, Bahador A, Jalali YF, Behzadi Z, Elhaminejad F, et al. (2017). Effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles incorporation on antibacterial properties and shear bond strength of dental composite used in Orthodontics. *Dental Press J Orthod.* 22(5):67-74. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2177-6709.22.5.067-074.oar>
24. Tufekci E, Dixon JS, Gunsolley JC, Lindauer SJ (2011). Prevalence of white spot lesions during orthodontic treatment with fixed appliances. *Angle Orthod.* 81(2):206-10. <https://doi.org/10.2319/052610-281.1>
25. Yamamoto K, Ohashi S, Aono M, Kokubo T, Yamada I, Yamauchi J (1996). Antibacterial activity of silver ions implanted in silicone rubber. *J Mater Sci Mater Med.* 7(8):473-7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00122012>



## CONTENTS

4. **DAS A.C., SAMIR P.V., KHAN S.H., FERNANDES B., ARYA A., MUSTAFA M.**  
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN THERAPEUTIC DECISION-MAKING FOR COMPLEX DENTAL DISEASES: A REVIEW
11. **ALAM M.K. ALMOHAMMED Y.E.M., HAJEER M.Y., ALANAZI A.W.N., ALANAZI F.S.A., ALNAWMASI Y.M.F.**  
LABORATORY ASSESSMENT OF CRISPR-MEDIATED MODULATION OF OSTEOBLASTIC AND OSTEOCLASTIC GENE EXPRESSION UNDER SIMULATED ORTHODONTIC FORCE
17. **GEORGE A.L., PANICKER P., FRANCIS F., RAGHUNANDANAN S., MOHIDEEN K., ALMUTAIRY M.F.**  
CAR-T-INSPIRED IMMUNOMODULATORY NANOVESICLES FOR TARGETED ELIMINATION OF ORAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA CELLS
23. **ALFAWZAN A.A., ALAM M.K., HAJEER M.Y.**  
IN-VITRO EVALUATION OF NANOPARTICLE-REINFORCED ORTHODONTIC ADHESIVES FOR ENHANCED SHEAR BOND STRENGTH AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY
30. **JADHAV S., PATRI G., BEHERA S.S.P., BANIK A., ARYA A., MUSTAFA M.**  
STEM-CELL-DERIVED BIOENGINEERED DENTAL PULP CONSTRUCTS FOR VITAL PULP THERAPY: A RANDOMIZED LABORATORY TRIAL
36. **TUENKAR Y.A., SHANKARGOUDA S., SEHDEV B., SINGH R.B., RAMAMURTHY J., MAHAPATRA N.**  
AI-GUIDED PERSONALIZED DRUG-DELIVERY NANOPARTICLES FOR PRECISION TREATMENT OF PERI-IMPLANTITIS: A MULTICENTER EVALUATION
42. **SADAT MANSOURI S., DADEHBEIGLOU P., NEMATI ANARAKI S., RAHMATPANAH K.**  
CYANOACRYLATE VS. DENTIN BONDING ON REDUCING DENTAL SENSITIVITY
50. **JALALUDDIN M., CALIAPEROMAL S.K., JAYANTI I., PATIL M., RAMAMURTHY J., MUSTAFA M.**  
MRNA-BASED REGENERATION OF PERIODONTAL LIGAMENT FIBROBLASTS: A TRANSLATIONAL PILOT STUDY
56. **AZATYAN V.YU., YESSAYAN L.K., SHMAVONYAN M.V., MURADYAN A.A.**  
EVALUATING THE EFFECTS OF CIGARETTE SMOKING AND HEATED TOBACCO PRODUCTS ON THE ORAL MUCOSA AND PERIODONTIUM IN PATIENTS WITH HEPATIT C VIRUS IN ARMENIA: A PILOT STUDY
65. **MOHAMMADI E., NAZARBAGHI S., HAJIESMAELLO M.**  
COMPARATIVE EFFICACY OF LOW-LEVEL LASER THERAPY AND TRANSCUTANEOUS ELECTRICAL NERVE STIMULATION IN THE MANAGEMENT OF DIABETIC PERIPHERAL NEUROPATHY: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL
73. **HOSSEINIAZAR M.M., KABOUDMEHRI M., ROOSTA Y.**  
PREDICTIVE VALUE OF SERUM TRACE ELEMENTS FOR CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC EFFICACY IN GASTRIC AND COLON CANCER: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY
82. **ESMAELZADEH M., ASHT'A'RI MEHRJARDI A., MOHAMMADINIA O., MOHAMMADPOUR S., HOKMABADI M.E., AMINI F., AZIZI T., VAKILI AHRARI RODI M.**  
NEW HORIZONS IN SUBSTANCE ABUSE DISORDER: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF EPIGENETIC MECHANISMS AND MULTIDIMENSIONAL PERSPECTIVES (2023–2025)
90. **SHAHROKHI-FARD P., SAGHEBI A., TALAEI A.**  
EFFECTIVENESS OF ACCEPTANCE AND COMMITMENT THERAPY ON COVID-19 PROTECTION INDICATORS, PHYSICAL DISORDER SYMPTOMS, AND PERCEIVED STRESS IN HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL IN MASHHAD HOSPITALS
98. **SURKUNDA T.S., STANLEY W., ELENJICKAL V., BALLAL A., NAGARAJU S., BOPPE S., KAMATH N., SHASTRY B.**  
CLINICAL FEATURES, OUTCOMES AND COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA OF INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS AT A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE: A RETROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY
- 108 **SABERI M.K., MOKHTARI H., HOSEINI AHANGARI S.A., OUCHI A., SHOURCHEH B.**  
THE ONLINE ATTENTION TO SPIRITUAL HEALTH RESEARCH: AN ALTMETRIC ANALYSIS
- 118 **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**  
A GENERALIZED ANALYTICAL REVIEW OF ARTICLES IN A ISSUE 2 ON ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES IN MODERN STOMATOLOGY



The Journal is founded by  
Yerevan State Medical  
University after M. Heratsi.

## Rector of YSMU

Armen A. *MURADYAN*

## Address for correspondence:

Yerevan State Medical University  
2 Koryun Street, Yerevan 0025,  
Republic of Armenia

## Phones:

(+37410) 582532 YSMU

(+37493) 588697 Editor-in-Chief

Fax: (+37410) 582532

E-mail: [namj.ysmu@gmail.com](mailto:namj.ysmu@gmail.com), [ysmiu@mail.ru](mailto:ysmiu@mail.ru)

URL: <http://www.ysmu.am>

Our journal is registered in the databases of Scopus, EBSCO  
and Thomson Reuters (in the registration process)



SCOPUS



EBSCO



THE GUFO

Print in "Monoprint" LLC  
Director: Armen Armenakyan  
Andraniks St., 96/8 Bulding  
Yerevan, 0064, Armenia  
Phone: (+37491) 40 25 86  
E-mail: [monoprint1@mail.ru](mailto:monoprint1@mail.ru)

*TheGufo is an online database platform designed to help researchers publish and share their scientific work on a global scale. Our company was founded to address the need for an affordable and user-friendly platform that removes many of the barriers traditionally imposed by the publishing industry.*

*All scientific work published through TheGufo complies with Creative Commons 4.0 and other recognized standards to ensure authenticity, proper referencing, and academic integrity. Each submission undergoes a detailed peer-review process prior to publication.*

*Our mission is to provide researchers worldwide with a professional, accessible, and cost-effective platform to share both new and existing work with their peers. To further encourage participation, we also offer special promotional programs for academic institutions.*

*Dear reader, to access our website, please follow the link below  
<https://thegufo.com/> <https://>*

## Editor-in-Chief

Arto V. *ZILFYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

## Deputy Editors

Hovhannes M. *MANVELYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Hamayak S. *SISAKYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

## Executive Secretary

Stepan A. *AVAGYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

## Editorial Board

Armen A. *MURADYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Drastamat N. *KHUDAVERDYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Suren A. *STEPANYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

## Foregin Members of the Editorial Board

Waleed *GHANIMA* (Oslo, Norway)

Carsten N. *Gutt* (Memmingen, GERMAY)

Ming-Hua *ZHENG* (Wenzhou, China)

## Coordinating Editor (for this number)

Farzad *KARIMPOUR* (Yasuj, IR Iran)

## Editorial Advisory Council

Vahe Yu. *AZATYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ara S. *BABLOYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ines *BANJARI* (Osijek, Croatia)

Azat A. *ENGIBARYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Mahdi *ESMAEILZADEH* (Mashhad, IR Iran)

Ruben V. *FANARJYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Gabriele *FRAGASSO* (Milano, Italy)

Samvel G. *GALSTYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Armen Dz. *HAMBARDZUMYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Airazat M. *KAZARYAN* (Oslo, Norway)

Seyran P. *KOCHARYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Levon M. *MKRTCHYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Ashot M. *MKRTUMYAN* (MoscowRussia)

Mariam R *MOVSIYAN* (Gumri, Armenia)

Mikhail Z. *NARIMANYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Sevak *SHAHBAZYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Arthur K. *SHUKURYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Gevorg N. *TAMAMYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Marine M. *TANASHYAN* (Moscow, Russia)

Hakob V. *TOPCHYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Alexander *WOODMAN* (London, England)

Konstantin B. *YENKOYAN* (Yerevan, Armenia)

Yumei *NIU* (Harbin, China)

Peijun *WANG* (Harbin, Chine)